This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

U OTHER: ____

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/752,259	01/06/2004		Steven D. Schwartz	96700/855 3999			
1912	7590	08/17/2004		EXAMINER			
AMSTER, 90 PARK A		EIN & EBENSTE	HIRL, JOSEPH P				
NEW YORK		016		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	,			2121			

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



1 g = 1 f	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~
Office Action Community	10/752,259	SCHWARTZ ET AL.	Ø
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 J	lanuary 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 19-34 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-34</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		hy the Everniner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	• • •	101/4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
•	xammer. Note the attached	Office Action of John P10-1	32.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
,,	to have been received		
The second copies of the priority december.		multipation No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stag	ge
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	wa madi wa d	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] (Summany (DTO 442)	
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 010604.			
	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 19-34 are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed January 6, 2004, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The Examiner does not have access to the NPL documents previously submitted and request that the applicant submits another copy of the document to facilitate an appropriate document review.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re*

Application/Control Number: 10/752,259

Art Unit: 2121

Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Page 3

- 4. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.
- 5. Claims 20, 26, 27, 28 and 21, 29, 30, 31 and 22, 32, 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,678,618. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 7. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number

Application/Control Number: 10/752,259 Page 4

Art Unit: 2121

.

8. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 9. Claims 18-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-6 of U. S. Patent No. 6,185,548 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.
- 10. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: "actual receptor ligands", "potential ligand to a receptor", and "inhibitor" are generic to "enzyme substrates or inhibitors", "potential inhibitor to an enzyme", and "substrate" respectively. Further, operation of the neural net regarding momentum, learning rate and accuracy is present in the subject patent claims to include training. In principal, the species anticipates the genus.
- 11. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Examination Considerations

12. The claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention."Office personnel are to give the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light

Art Unit: 2121

of the supporting disclosure. *In re Morris*, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. *In re Prater*, 415 F.2d, 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969)" (MPEP p 2100-8, c 2, I 45-48; p 2100-9, c 1, I 1-4). The Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense. Examiner will reference prior art using terminology familiar to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such an approach is broad in concept and can be either explicit or implicit in meaning.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Beger et al, U.S. Pub. 2003/0229456
 - Abrev, U.S. Pub. 2003/0139687
 - Agrafiotis et al, 2003/0014191
- 14. Claims 19-34 are rejected.

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this information or related to the subject disclosure should be directed to the Examiner, Joseph P. Hirl, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1668. The Examiner can be reached on Monday – Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached at (703) 308-3179.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks,

Washington, D. C. 20231;

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry); or faxed to:

(703) 746-7290 (for informal or draft communications with notation of "Proposed" or "Draft" for the desk of the Examiner).

Joseph P. Hir

August 10, 2004